

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 9, 14, 26 and 34 have been amended. Claims 4-8, 10, 21-25, 29-33 and 35 have been cancelled without prejudice. No Claims have been added.

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) Rejections

Examiner rejected claims 1-7, 10-12, 14-24, 26-32 and 35-37 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by "3GT TS 33. 102v3.4.0-3G Security-Security Architecture" (hereinafter "3G Security").

Independent claim 1 has been amended to include "sending a random access connection request burst from the first communication device to the second communications device, opening a connection between the first communications device and the second communications device in response to the random access connection request burst, generating an initialization vector using an absolute frame number of the random access connection request burst, and determining a connection secret using the master secret and the initialization vector." At least the combination of these limitations is not taught or suggested by 3G Security. Therefore claim 1 is allowable.

Independent claims 14 and 26 have been amended to include similar limitations to those of allowable claim 1. Thus independent claims 14 and 26 are also allowable. All other claims remaining in the application are dependent on one of the allowable independent claims adding further limitations, and are thus also allowable.

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejections

Examiner rejected claims 8-9, 25 and 33-34 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over by "3G TS 33. 102v3.4.0-3G Security-Security Architecture" (hereinafter "3G Security") as applied to claims 4, 7, 29 and 32 above, and further in view of U.S. Publication 2002/0035682 (hereinafter "Niemi").

Independent claim 1 has been amended to include "sending a random access connection request burst from the first communication device to the second communications device, opening a connection between the first communications device and the second communications device in response to the random access connection request burst, generating an initialization vector using an absolute frame number of the random access connection request burst, and determining a connection secret using the master secret and the initialization vector." At least the combination of these limitations is not taught or suggested by 3G Security in view of Niemi. Therefore claim 1 is allowable.

Independent claims 14 and 26 have been amended to include similar limitations to those of allowable claim 1. Thus independent claims 14 and 26 are also allowable. All other claims remaining in the application are dependent on one of the allowable independent claims adding further limitations, and are thus also allowable.

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejections

Examiner rejected claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over by “3G TS 33. 102v3.4.0-3G Security-Security Architecture” (hereinafter “3G Security”) as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of U.S. Publication 2002/0035687 (hereinafter “Skantze”).

Independent claim 1 has been amended to include “sending a random access connection request burst from the first communication device to the second communications device, opening a connection between the first communications device and the second communications device in response to the random access connection request burst, generating an initialization vector using an absolute frame number of the random access connection request burst, and determining a connection secret using the master secret and the initialization vector.” At least the combination of these limitations is not taught or suggested by 3G Security in view of Skantze. Therefore claim 1 is allowable.

Since claim 13 depends on allowable claim 1 adding further limitations, it is also allowable.

CONCLUSION


Applicants respectfully submit the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call Adam Furst at (408) 947-8200.

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any charges that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date: June 22, 2005



Adam Furst
Reg. No. 51,710

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026
(408) 947-8200